

§11. Invariants of Smooth Abelian Coverings

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be an abelian cover with Galois group G , X smooth (so, it makes sense to work with K_X). Let $\{L_x\}_{x \in G^*}$ and $\{D_g\}_{g \in G}$ be the building data of π .

Theorem

$$h^i(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^i(\mathcal{O}_Y) + \sum_{x \neq 1} h^i(Y, L_x^{-1})$$

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) + \sum_{x \neq 1} \chi(L_x^{-1})$$

$$P_g(X) = P_g(Y) + \sum_{x \neq 1} h^0(Y, K_X + L_x)$$

proof

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \cong \bigoplus_{x \in G^*} L_x^{-1} \Rightarrow$$

$$h^i(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^i(Y, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X) = \sum_{x \in G^*} h^i(Y, L_x^{-1})$$

Instead, $P_g(X) = h^0(K_X) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = \sum_{x \in G^*} h^2(Y, L_x^{-1})$

\downarrow
Serre Duality
 \nearrow

$$= \sum_{x \in G^*} h^0(Y, K_Y + L_x)$$

(this holds only for surfaces; we present below a proof for the higher dimensional case)

Finally,

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim X} (-1)^i h^i(\mathcal{O}_X) = \sum_{x \in G^*} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\dim X} (-1)^i h^i(Y, L_x^{-1}) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in G^*} \chi(L_x^{-1}) \quad \square$$

Corollary For surfaces, we have

$$q(X) = q(Y) + \sum_{x \neq 1} h^1(L_x^{-1})$$

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = |G| \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \neq 1} L_x(L_x + K_Y)$$

$$p_g(X) = p_g(Y) + \sum_{x \neq 1} h^0(Y, L_x + K_Y)$$

proof From Riemann-Roch Theorem for surfaces, we have that

$$\chi(D) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \frac{1}{2} D(D - K_X)$$

Thus, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) + \sum_{x \neq 1} \underbrace{\chi(L_x^{-1})}_{\chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) + \frac{1}{2} (-L_x)(-L_x - K_X)}$

$$= |G| \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \neq 1} L_x(L_x + K_X) \quad \square$$

Let us compute also the Topological Euler characteristic $e(X)$ when X is smooth.

Prop Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth ab. cover of surfaces with Galois group G , and building data $\{L_x\}_{x \in G^*}$, $\{D_g\}_{g \in G}$.
Then

$$e(X) = |G| \left(e(Y) - \sum_{g \in G} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|g|} \right) e(D_g) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g \neq h} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|g|} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{|h|} \right) D_g \cdot D_h \right)$$

proof $e(X) = n_0 - n_1 + n_2 - n_3 + n_4$, where n_i is the number of cells of dimension i , and $e(X)$ is computed using a cellular decomposition of X .

We can choose a particular cellular decomp. of Y such that:

- the intersection points between D_g and D_h are 0-cells of the decomposition;
- The cellular decomp. of X induces a cellular dec. on each D_g ;
- The cells are contained on some D_g or they do not touch the branch locus D .

This particular decomposition induces a cellular decomp. on X .

If π is not ramified, then any cell of Y is counted $|G|$ -times on X , so $e(X) = |G|e(Y)$.

Instead, if π is ramified, then we can add a correction term.

Indeed, any 2-cell is counted $|G|$ -times with the exception of the components D_g , that are counted $\frac{|G|}{|g|}$ -times.

Hence to $|G|e(Y)$ we have to subtract

$$\sum_{g \in G} \left(|G| - \frac{|G|}{|g|} \right) e(D_g).$$

However, we subtracted too much. Indeed, the common points lying over some D_g and D_h are counted $\frac{|G|}{|\langle g, h \rangle|}$ -times, namely $\frac{|G|}{|g| \cdot |h|}$ -times since X is smooth and $\langle g \rangle \oplus \langle h \rangle \rightarrow G$ is injective.

However, we actually counted those 0-cells

$$\left[|G| - \left(|G| - \frac{|G|}{|g|} \right) - \left(|G| - \frac{|G|}{|h|} \right) \right] \text{-times}$$

so we need to add the correction term:

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{g+h} \left(\left(|G| - \frac{|G|}{|g|} \right) + \left(|G| - \frac{|G|}{|h|} \right) - \left(|G| - \frac{|G|}{|g||h|} \right) \right) D_g \cdot D_h \quad \square$$

We are interested to compute a (multiple) of a canonical divisor K_X in function of the building data of π .

Theorem Let $e :=$ the exponent of G , namely the higher order among the elements of G .

Then

$$eK_X \equiv \pi^* \left(eK_Y + \sum_{g \in G} \frac{|g|-1}{|g|} \cdot e D_g \right)$$

proof We apply Riemann-Hurwitz formula to π :

$$(*) \quad K_X \equiv \pi^*(K_Y) + R_{\text{ram}} = \pi^*(K_Y) + \sum_{g \in G} (|g|-1) R_g$$

the ramific. locus is made by the invol. compon. of $R_g, g \in G$.
Each component of R_g has ramif. index $|g|$, so R_g appears in R_{ram} with multiplicity $|g|-1$.

We proved in the previous lectures that $\pi^*(D_g) = |g| R_g$

So $e R_g = \frac{e}{|g|} |g| R_g = \frac{e}{|g|} \pi^*(D_g) = \pi^* \left(\frac{e}{|g|} D_g \right) \Rightarrow$ by $(*)$

$$(*) \quad eK_X \equiv \pi^*(eK_Y) + \sum_{g \in G} (|g|-1) e R_g =$$

$$\equiv \pi^* \left(eK_Y + \sum_{g \in G} \frac{|g|-1}{|g|} \cdot e \cdot D_g \right) \quad \square$$

Corollary: $K_X^h = |G| \cdot \left(K_Y + \sum_{g \in G} \frac{|g|-1}{|g|} \cdot D_g \right)^h$

We want also to study the linear system $|K_X|$, which gives information of the canonical map $\varphi_{K_X}: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{|g|-1}$, such as its base points.

The action of G on X induces a representation on $\pi_* \omega_X$, which then splits as a direct sum of eigensheaves of character $\chi \in G^*$:

$$\pi_* \omega_X = \bigoplus_{\chi \in G^*} (\pi_* \omega_X)^\chi$$

(The action of $g \in G$ on a local n -form $w = f dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ is $g \cdot w := (g)^* w$, namely $g \cdot (f dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n) = (f \circ g^{-1}) d(x_1 \circ g^{-1}) \wedge \dots \wedge d(x_n \circ g^{-1})$).

We are interested to determine $(\pi_* \omega_X)^\chi$.

Theorem (Liedtke Formula)

$$\pi_* \omega_X^\chi \cong \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^\chi$$

The canonical system of X has the following decomposition:

$$H^0(X, K_X) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in G^*} \left[\prod_{g \in G} \ell_g^{|\mathfrak{g}| - r_X^g - 1} \right] \cdot \pi^* H^0(Y, K_Y + \mathcal{L}_X^\chi)$$

where $(\ell_g = 0)$ is the local zero locus of R_g .

Remark $|K_X|$ is generated by all effective divisors $\pi^* |K_Y + \mathcal{L}_X| + \sum_{g \in G} (|\mathfrak{g}| - 1 - r_X^g) R_g$ such that

$$h^0(Y, K_Y + \mathcal{L}_X) \neq 0.$$

proof Locally around $\text{supp}(D_g)$, we have

$$(t, \dots, x_n) \xrightarrow{g} (\xi t, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

and $(t, \dots, x_n) \xrightarrow{\pi} (t^{|\mathcal{G}|}, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $R_g = (t=0)$
 (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)

We observe that $(\pi_x^* \omega_x)^X$ is generated by the local parameter $t^{|\mathcal{G}| - r_x^g} \cdot dt \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$. Indeed, given

$$\omega = f(t, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n, \text{ then}$$

$$g \cdot \omega = X(g)\omega = g^* f d(g^* t) \wedge \dots \wedge d(g^* x_n) = f(\xi t, \dots, x_n) \xi^{|\mathcal{G}|-1} dt \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

$$\xi^{t_x^g} f(t, \dots, x_n) dt \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \xi^{r_x^g} f(t, \dots, x_n) = f(\xi^{|\mathcal{G}|-1} t, \dots, x_n) \xi^{|\mathcal{G}|-1} dt \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

$$f(t, \dots, x_n) = \xi^{|\mathcal{G}| - r_x^g - 1} f(\xi^{|\mathcal{G}|-1} t, \dots, x_n)$$

$$f = \sum \partial_n(x_2, \dots, x_n) t^k = \xi^{|\mathcal{G}| - r_x^g - 1} \sum \partial_n(x_2, \dots, x_n) \xi^{(|\mathcal{G}|-1)k} t^k$$

$$= \sum \partial_n(x_2, \dots, x_n) \xi^{|\mathcal{G}| - r_x^g - 1 - k} t^k$$

$$\text{So } \partial_n(x_2, \dots, x_n) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \xi^{|\mathcal{G}| - r_x^g - 1 - k} = 1 \Leftrightarrow |\mathcal{G}| - r_x^g - 1 - k \equiv 0 \pmod{|\mathcal{G}|}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow k \equiv |\mathcal{G}| - r_x^g - 1 \pmod{|\mathcal{G}|}$$

Thus, $f = \sum \partial_k(x_2, \dots, x_n) (t^{|\mathcal{G}|})^{\alpha_k} \cdot t^{|\mathcal{G}| - r_x^g - 1}$
 $= t^{|\mathcal{G}| - r_x^g - 1} \cdot (\sum \partial_k(z_2, \dots, z_n) z_1^{\alpha_k})$

\Rightarrow a local parameter is $t^{|\mathcal{G}| - r_x^g - 1} \cdot dt \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$.

However, a local parameter for \mathcal{L}_X^{-1} is $t^{r_x^s}$, so we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\pi_* \omega_X)^X \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\pi_* \omega_X)^1 \xleftarrow{\sim} \omega_Y \\
 t^{|\mathcal{G}| - r_x^s - 1} dt_1 \cdots dt_n \otimes t^{r_x^s} & & \begin{array}{l} \text{pull back} \\ \text{map} \\ \pi^*(dz_1, \dots, dz_n) \leftarrow dz_1, \dots, dz_n \end{array} \\
 & \searrow & \Delta |g| t^{|\mathcal{G}| - 1} dt_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n
 \end{array}$$

Thus, $(\pi_* \omega_X)^X \otimes \mathcal{L}_X^{-1} \cong \omega_Y \rightarrow (\pi_* \omega_X)^X \cong \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_X$.

Finally, $\pi^* H^0(X, \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_X) \hookrightarrow H^0(X, \omega_X)$

$$\pi^*(s) \longmapsto \left(\prod_{g \in \mathcal{G}} t_g^{|\mathcal{G}| - r_x^g - 1} \right) \pi^*(s)$$

is injective. Indeed, given $\pi^*s \in \pi^* H^0(Y, \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}_X)$,

Then $\text{div} \left(\prod t_g^{|\mathcal{G}| - r_x^g - 1} \cdot \pi^*s \right) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} (|\mathcal{G}| - r_x^g - 1) R_g \cdot \text{div}(\pi^*s)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} (|\mathcal{G}| - r_x^g - 1) R_g + \pi^* K_Y + \pi^* \mathcal{L}_X \\
 &= \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} (|\mathcal{G}| - 1) R_g + \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} r_x^g R_g \\
 &= \pi^* K_Y + \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} (|\mathcal{G}| - 1) R_g \equiv K_X \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

One can also investigate $(\pi_* \omega_X^{\otimes m})^X$ with $m \geq 1$. The case $m=2$ has been made by Bauer-Pignatelli, while the general case can be found in the Notes of this course. Finally, one can also generalize the above formula and obtain a decomposition of $H^0(X, mK_X)$, $m \geq 1$.

(This has been made by Alessandro Trappati, Gleissner, 2025).

Remark These formulas work also for X normal and not only smooth, but we need to give a precise meaning of K_X , which is not well defined anymore (and one could give different reasonable definitions of K_X , which are in general not equivalent, but still the same if X is smooth).

Thm

$$(\pi_* \omega_X^{\otimes m})^X \cong \omega_Y^{\otimes m} \otimes L_{X^{-1}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \left(\sum_{g \in G} \left\lfloor \frac{(|g|-1)(m-1) + K_X^g}{|g|} \right\rfloor D_g \right)$$

$$\text{where } K_X^g := \begin{cases} |g|-1 & \text{if } r_X^g = 0 \\ r_X^g - 1 & \text{if } r_X^g \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$H^0(X, mK_X) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G^*} \prod_{g \in G} t_g^{r_X^g - m + \left\lfloor \frac{m - r_X^g}{|g|} \right\rfloor \cdot |g|} \pi^* H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y \left(\sum_{g \in G} \left\lfloor \frac{m - r_X^g}{|g|} \right\rfloor D_g \right) \otimes L_X^{-1} \otimes \omega_Y^{\otimes m})$$

One can use these formulas to compute the Kodaira dimension of X :

Thm (F.-Ulivri) X smooth.

Let $D := |G|K_Y + \sum_{g \in G} \frac{|G|(|g|-1)}{|g|} D_g$. Then the

Kodaira dimension of X is the Iitaka dim. of D :

$$k(X) = k(Y, D).$$

Example: Let us determine in general the Kodaira dim. of a smooth ab. cover of \mathbb{P}^2 .

Firstly, we remind that given $D = d \cdot H$, then

$$h^0(\mathbb{P}^2, D) = \begin{cases} \binom{d+2}{2} = \frac{(d+2)(d+1)}{2} & \text{if } d \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } d < 0 \end{cases}$$

lin. indep. homog. poly. in 3 variables.

Thus, the Iitaka dimension of a divisor D of \mathbb{P}^2 is

$$k(\mathbb{P}^2, D) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } d < 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } d = 0 \\ 2 & \text{if } d \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Given a smooth $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ with ab. group G ,

then $k(X) = k(\mathbb{P}^2, |G|k_{\mathbb{P}^2} + \sum_{g \in G} \frac{|G|(|g|-1)}{|g|} D_g)$

$$= \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } \sum_{g \in G} \frac{|g|-1}{|g|} d_g < 3 \\ 0 & \text{if } \sum_{g \in G} \frac{|g|-1}{|g|} d_g = 3 \\ 2 & \text{if } \sum_{g \in G} \frac{|g|-1}{|g|} d_g \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

Exercise: Compute the Kodaira dimension of a smooth ab. cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and \mathbb{F}_n .